1

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA LAFAYETTE DIVISION

Defendant. \*

Deposition via Zoom videoconference of BRENT K. KEMP, given in the above-entitled cause, pursuant to the following stipulation on Tuesday, the 4th day of May, 2021, commencing at 1:08 p.m. and concluding at 3:45 p.m.

## REPORTED BY:

CHERYL L. OHLMEYER CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER

```
1
     APPEARANCES:
 2
 3
     REPRESENTING PLAINTIFF:
 4
     KENNETH D. ST. PE'
     Attorney at Law
     311 W. University Avenue, Suite A
 5
     Lafayette, Louisiana 70506
     Telephone: (337) 534-4043
 6
 7
               AND
     PARMET PC
 8
     Attorneys at Law
 9
     BY: MATTHEW S. PARMET, ESQ.
     3 Riverway, Suite 1910
10
     Houston, Texas 77056
     Telephone: (713) 999-5228
11
12
13
     REPRESENTING DEFENDANT:
14
     THE KULLMAN FIRM
     Attorneys at Law
15
     BY: BRYAN EDWARD BOWDLER, ESQ.
     1600 Energy Centre
     1100 Poydras Street
16
     New Orleans, Louisiana 70163
     Telephone: (504) 524-4162
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

INDEX Page EXAMINATION BY MR. BOWDLER. . . . . **EXHIBITS** #1 - NOTICE OF DEPOSITION . . . . . #2 - CONSENT TO JOIN. . . . . . . . #3 - EMPLOYEE MINI FILE . . . . . . #4 - PAY STATEMENT 12/14/14 - 12/27/14 #5 - PAY STATEMENT 12/13/15 - 12/26/15 #6 - PAY STATEMENT 12/11/16 - 12/24/16 #7 - PAY STATEMENT 11/26/17 - 12/9/17  $\#8 - W-2 \text{ for } 2018 \dots \dots \dots \dots$ #9 - LOAD CHART SYSTEM . . . . . . 

## STIPULATION

It is stipulated and agreed by and between all parties that the deposition of BRENT K. KEMP is hereby being taken pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, in accordance with law, pursuant to notice;

That formalities, such as sealing, certification and filing, are waived. The formalities of reading and signing are not waived.

That all objections, save those as to the form of the questions, are hereby reserved until such time as this deposition, or any part thereof, may be used or sought to be used in evidence.

\* \* \*

CHERYL L. OHLMEYER, Certified Court Reporter in and for the State of Louisiana, officiated in administering the oath to the above-named witness.

\* \* \*

BRENT K. KEMP, 29202 Homer Kemp Road, 1 2 Franklinton, Louisiana, 70438, the witness named 3 in the above stipulation, being first duly sworn 4 in the cause, testified on his oath as follows: 5 THE COURT REPORTER: 6 Will counsel please identify 7 themselves and their affiliations and also 8 stipulate that by agreement of all parties this deposition is being held via videoconferencing 9 10 and there is no objection to the witness being 11 sworn in remotely and further stipulate that 12 Southern Court Reporters is in no way responsible 13 for the security of this online proceeding after 14 which I will swear in the witness? 15 MR. ST. PE': This is Ken St. Pe', counsel for 16 17 the plaintiff. I have no objections. 18 MR. PARMET: 19 This is Matt Parmet, also for the 20 plaintiffs. 21 MR. BOWDLER: 22 And Bryan Bowdler on behalf of 23 the defendant and no objection as well. 24 - EXAMINATION -25 BY MR. BOWDLER:

- Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Kemp. As you heard, my name is Bryan Bowdler. I'm one of the attorneys for Smith International in this lawsuit, and we're here for your deposition this afternoon, and just to start with, have you ever given a deposition before today?
  - A. One time approximately early 80's.
- Q. Okay. So just, you know, sort of before we get started, you know, sort of a couple of things to, you know, to I think just keep in mind as we go forward to try and make things go as smoothly as possible and as easily as possible for our court reporter.

So just during the deposition today,

I'm going to be asking you a series of questions,

and it's just your job to answer my questions to

the best of your recollection truthfully, and

just, you know, the court reporter just put you

under oath, and do you understand this is the

same oath you would take as if you were

testifying in court?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. All right. And our court reporter is making a transcript of everything that we say today during your deposition. So

when I ask you a question, I'll need you to do your best to remember to respond verbally in response to all my questions. While it is very natural to respond with like a head shake, you know, a head nod yes or no, just to make sure that our transcript of your deposition is clear, if you do respond that way, understandable, but I just might ask you to clarify and say verbally yes or no just so it's clear on the record. Okay?

A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. And then, likewise, if you'd just do your best to avoid answers such a uh-huh or un-huh, things like that, for the same reason. They just don't come across clearly, and, again, likewise, if you do respond to a question that way, understandable, because it's natural for us all to do that, but, again, I just might ask you to clarify for the transcript. I'm not trying to pick on you or anything like that. Okay?
  - A. I understand.
- Q. Okay. And then just as she is making a transcript of everything we say, if you could, again, do your best to wait till I finish asking my question all the way through before you begin

to answer. Just it's hard for her to take things down if we're both talking at the same time over each other, and then, likewise, I'm going to do my best to let you finish answering all the way before I ask another question, but if for some reason I interrupt you inadvertently and you're not finished, please just let me know and say, oh, you know, I'm not quite done answering yet, and you can go ahead and proceed to finish your answer. Okay?

A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. And during our deposition if you don't understand any of the questions I ask you here today because I've done a very bad job asking a question and it's not clear or, you know, if the audio cuts out for some reason or I stumble over my words, you know, please let me know so I can ask it again and either clarify and do a better job with making my question clear so that you can hear it. Okay?
  - A. Okay.
- Q. Okay. And then, likewise, if I ask you a question today and you proceed to answer it, I'm going to assume that you understood my question fully and that's why you're -- go ahead,

and that's why you're answering the question; is that fair?

A. Yes.

2.0

- Q. Okay. And then during the course of our deposition, your attorneys may object to some of my questions. Unless they tell you otherwise after they put their objection on the record, you can go ahead and answer that question or ask me for clarification or whatnot. Okay?
  - A. Okay.
- Q. Okay. And then just lastly, if at any point today you need or want to take a break, just let me know, and we'll do so. We're not here for an endurance competition or anything like that. So the only thing I would ask is that if I've asked you a question, I will need you to go ahead and answer that question, and then we will go ahead and take a break. Okay?
  - A. Okay.
- Q. Okay. And then during your deposition today I will be pulling up and showing you some documents that we're going to attach as exhibits. What I'll do is I'll share my screen so you'll be able to see it there in front of you. So when I do that, if you need me to scroll up or down,

```
left or right, zoom in, zoom out, you know, please just let me know what you need so I can, you know, adjust the image accordingly so you can read it. Okay?
```

A. Okay.

Q. All right. I'm going to go ahead and pull up and we're going to share. This is a document we're going to mark as Exhibit 1 and just attach to your deposition for the record. This is just -- this is a copy of a Notice of Deposition that scheduled your deposition for today at one p.m.

All right, and, Mr. Kemp, what, if anything, did you do in order to prepare for today's deposition?

- A. Nothing.
- Q. Okay.
- 18 A. I didn't know what you was going to 19 ask.
  - Q. Sure. Okay. And in a broader than just preparing for today's deposition, have you spoken with anyone else other than your attorneys about this lawsuit?
    - A. No.
    - Q. Okay. And if you would, just if you

```
1
     would state your full name for the record,
 2
     please?
 3
         Α.
               Brent Kerwin Kemp.
 4
         Ο.
               And, Mr. Kemp, what is your current
 5
     home address?
 6
               29202 Homer Kemp Road, Franklinton,
 7
     Louisiana, 70438.
 8
         Ο.
               Okay. And how long have you lived at
 9
     that address?
10
         Α.
               Since 1984.
11
         Ο.
               And --
12
               That address a couple of times, but
         Α.
13
     I've lived there at the same spot.
14
               Okay. And I presume you've owned the
         Q.
15
     property at that location?
16
         Α.
               Yes.
17
               Okay. And, Mr. Kemp, what is your
18
     current telephone number?
               My home phone is 985-848-2686.
19
         Α.
20
               Okay. And that number, that's a land
         Ο.
     line for your home?
21
22
         Α.
               Yes.
23
         Q.
               Okay. And do you have a cell phone,
24
     Mr. Kemp?
25
         A.
               Yes. It's 985-515-0080.
```

```
1
               Okay. All right. And, Mr. Kemp, what
         0.
 2
     is the highest level of degree or diploma that
 3
     you've earned, either undergraduate degree, high
 4
     school diploma, that sort of thing?
 5
         Α.
               High school diploma.
 6
         Q.
               Okay.
 7
         Α.
               I have some college, but I didn't
     finish.
 8
 9
         Q.
               Okay. And in what year did you
10
     graduate from high school?
11
         Α.
               1976.
12
               Okay. And what high school did you
         Q.
13
     attend?
14
               Pine High School.
         Α.
15
         Q.
               And where is that located?
16
               In Pine, Louisiana.
         Α.
17
                      The college courses that you had
         0.
     taken, what was the school at which you took
18
     those classes?
19
20
         Α.
               Southeastern University.
                      And other than this lawsuit that
21
         0.
               Okay.
22
     we're here for, have you ever been a party to any
23
     other lawsuits?
24
         Α.
               No.
25
         Q.
               And I'm sorry. You broke up a little
```

bit. I didn't quite hear you.

A. No.

2.0

- Q. No, okay. Have you ever filed for bankruptcy, Mr. Kemp?
  - A. No.
- Q. Okay. And this, for our lawsuit today, how did you come to learn about this lawsuit?
- A. I'm trying to think if it was through word of mouth from somebody in the company that I worked for. I'm thinking that's what it was.
- Q. Okay. And do you happen to recall for, you know, that sort of word-of-mouth discussion about the lawsuit, do you happen to remember at all who of the other individuals were that you, you know, talked with about this lawsuit?
  - A. No.
- Q. Okay. And, Mr. Kemp, I'm going to share my screen again, and this is a document that we're going to mark and attach to your deposition as Exhibit 2, and, mainly, let me scroll down here to this third page, and this is a Consent to Join form to join this lawsuit as a plaintiff. Do you recall signing this form?
  - A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. Okay. And then just to confirm here on

the signature block, that's your signature?

A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Okay. And, Mr. Kemp, what's your general understanding about the claims that are being asserted in this lawsuit?
- A. Just we're asking for the overtime pay over 40 hours a week that we worked.
- Q. Okay. And do you have an understanding as to the allegations of why you and the other plaintiffs in this case should have received overtime compensation while working with Smith?
- A. Just that being more of a laborer, you know, we're -- supposedly under 40 hours, you're supposed to get overtime pay.
- Q. Okay. And just are you aware of any, you know, documentation that would support your understanding of why you and the other plaintiffs should have received overtime while working with Smith?
- A. I can't recall the exact document, but I believe it's in the federal law that states that.
- Q. Okay. And then just anything from your time with Smith such as, you know, just, for an example, things like a job description or, you

know, something like that that would discuss, you know, sort of what you were doing, your job duties working for Smith, anything like that that would -- that you are aware of that would support your understanding of why you should have been paid overtime?

- A. No. I don't think I've seen anything like that.
- Q. Okay. And then a somewhat similar question, are you aware of any other individuals who might have knowledge about or would support your understanding of why you and the other plaintiffs should have been paid overtime during your time with Smith?
  - A. Ask me that again.

- Q. Sure. Just anyone that you're aware of that might have information or knowledge that would support your understanding of why, you know, you think the plaintiffs should have received overtime, you know, people with knowledge of your job, what you were doing, your pay, things like that?
  - A. I'm sure the Department of Labor.
- Q. Okay. And so during your time working with Smith, it's your belief that you should have

been paid overtime for hours worked over 40 in a work week; is that correct?

A. Yes.

2.0

- Q. Okay. And prior to signing the form that we showed and marked as Exhibit 2, did you do any kind of independent research into the law that's at issue in this case prior to deciding to join the lawsuit?
  - A. No.
- Q. Okay. And did you do any kind of looking into or research about any other lawsuits against Smith or Schlumberger seeking unpaid overtime compensation?
- A. No.
- Q. Okay. And prior to deciding to join, did you read the complaint that was filed with the court that initiated this lawsuit?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. You did, okay. And then why did you decide to join this lawsuit when given the opportunity?
  - A. Well, I had, from word of mouth in the oil industry, I had talked with several people that other companies for the exact same thing had to start paying, you know, the overtime that

their workers were working.

- Q. Okay. And when you mentioned the exact same thing, those were other individuals who were working and doing essentially the same type of job that you were doing?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. And from those conversations, do you happen to recall the names of any of the other companies that you had heard were required to pay out unpaid overtime?
  - A. I believe it was Halliburton and Baker.
- Q. Okay. And these were discussions you were having in the -- were they taking place in the mid to late 2017 time period, if you recall?
- A. I haven't -- I just remember the conversation. I don't remember when they was taking place.
- Q. Okay. And when did you first start working in the oilfield services industry?
  - A. Service industry in 2015, February 28.
  - Q. Okay. And you said just February 2015?
    - A. Yes, February 28, 2015.
    - Q. Okay.
    - A. Oh, excuse me. 2005.
- Q. 2005, okay. And is that when you

started working with Smith in the -- I guess I know the title has changed over time, but I think that we know it as a DTR field specialist position?

A. Reamer hand.

2.0

- Q. Okay. And prior to working, going to work for Smith in 2005, who did you work for prior to that?
  - A. It was Pride International.
- Q. Okay. And realizing it's been some time, just a rough approximate time period of when you worked with Pride International?
- A. Yes. Well, it was the same company I worked for that went through like four buy-outs. It started in 1980, and then I worked all the way to 2005. I did take off five years in between there and did something else, but it was always the same company.
- Q. Okay. The same company, they just went through some different name changes, things like that?
  - A. Right.
- Q. Okay. And then looking at I think -let's just limit to the like 2000-2005 time
  period when you were working with Pride

International, what was your position and, you know, what were your job duties? What things were you doing for them?

A. I was a driller.

- Q. Okay. And then, again, just very generally kind of a five-thousand-foot view, what is it that a driller does and what you did sort of on a day-to-day basis?
- A. We were doing workovers on wells and also drilling, just run the rig.
- Q. Okay. And when you started with Smith in 2005, were you hired on and started as a reamer hand, or did you start in a different type of position?
  - A. No. I was a reamer hand.
- Q. Okay. And as I understand it, your employment with Smith ended in the May 2019 time period; is that correct?
  - A. Yes, May the 4th.
  - Q. Okay. And did you retire or just voluntarily resign or was it --
    - A. I voluntarily resigned.
  - Q. Okay. Okay. And then just from when you started until May 2019 when you stopped working with Smith, were your job duties

essentially the same during that whole period? I guess did you work as a reamer hand, that sort of thing, the whole time?

- A. I was a reamer hand, and then let's see. Around 2007, 2008, I also started running whipstocks.
- Q. Okay. And so doing those two types of jobs or, you know, what you did through the end of your employment with Smith?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. And after May 2019, have you gone to work for anyone else?
  - A. No.
- Q. Okay. And how did it come about that you ended up starting to work for Smith? Was it kind of a recommendation from someone? Did you respond to an ad, that sort of thing?
- A. It was a recommendation from someone. They were -- actually, a reamer hand was on the rig running a reamer, and we was out there about two weeks together, and he had mentioned they was fixing to hire somebody. The guy didn't show up, and if I wanted, I could apply for it.
- Q. Okay. And focusing, if you will, on the 2014 through 2019 time period when you

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

stopped working with Smith, did you ever work outside of the United States in that time period? Α. No. Okay. And just, again, starting in the 0. 2014 time period, who was your supervisor/manager in that time-frame? Α. I don't even recall. Ο. Okay. They changed frequently it seemed like. Α. Okay. And so I quess why don't we Ο. maybe -- so in 2019 when your employment ended, who was your supervisor, direct supervisor, at that time? David Voisin or Visin. Α. Q. Okay. And I believe there's another guy in Α. Houston. I can't remember his name.

- Okay. And then if you can recall, just Ο. roughly, when did Mr. Voisin start as your supervisor, if you can remember, just rough time period?
- He had been on the job, worked out of Α. Houma, him and another individual, and they were kind of like co-bosses, and so they strictly did the Rhino reamers, and when I started running

```
reamers, I guess the Rhinos probably in 2007, also, maybe late 2006, they supervised those jobs.
```

- Q. Okay. And, again, focusing on the 2014 to 2019, what types of jobs were you primarily doing? Were you primarily doing reaming jobs, you know, primarily whipstock or a majority of one versus the other?
- A. Probably more reaming jobs with a few whipstocks thrown in, you know.
- Q. Okay. And when you were being supervised by Mr. Voisin, did you have any issues with Mr. Voisin as a manager/supervisor?
  - A. No. They were helpful.
- Q. Okay. And then just there are a few other plaintiffs involved with this lawsuit and, you know, associated ones, and just if you would, I'll let you know what their names are and let me know if you know them, if you've worked with them, you know, anything like that. And the first one, it's Jack Venable?
  - A. I've seen the name. I don't know him.
- Q. Okay. And next a gentleman named William Aguirre?
  - A. Same thing. I just saw the name. I

1 don't really know the individual. 2 Okay. And how about Charles Myers? 0. 3 Α. Yes, sir. I remember Charles. I've never personally worked with him, but I remember 4 5 seeing him in some training that we've done. 6 Okay. Okay. And next is an individual 7 named Karl Drobish? 8 Α. I don't know him. 9 Okay. And then the last name is a Q. 10 gentleman named Joel Story, and I believe he goes 11 by Brent, Brent Story? 12 Yeah. I know him. I've actually Α. 13 worked with Brent before. 14 Okay. And when you say worked with Ο. 15 him, you and him worked on a rig together on a 16 project? 17 I believe I relieved him. Α. 18 0. Okay. 19 Α. He may have went on vacation. 20 And when you worked for Smith in this O. 2014 on time period, you were assigned to I 21 22 believe the Broussard, Louisiana, office; is that

A. Yes.

correct?

23

24

25

Q. Okay. However, you know, when you

worked, you would -- typically, you were sent out to an oil rig either offshore or on land to actually do your work as a reamer hand, correct? Α.

- Okay. And then just generally Q. speaking, where did you work most often in this time period? Was it offshore in the Gulf, or were you mainly doing land jobs?
- Α. Probably, I would say offshore in the Gulf.
- And when you worked with Smith, Ο. Okay. did you have access to any other employee's personnel files?
  - Α. No.

Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Did you have any access to any other Q. employee's payroll records?
  - Α. No.
- Were you involved with any discussions 0. with management or, you know, human resources about the compensation paid to reamer hands like yourself?
  - Α. No.
- Do you have any knowledge about how or what Smith did when it formulated and established its compensation policies with respect to reamer

1 hands? 2 Α. No. 3 0. Did you have any responsibilities for ensuring compliance with any wage and hour laws 4 5 while you worked for Smith? 6 Α. No. 7 Q. Did you receive any training on wage 8 and hour law compliance when you worked with 9 Smith? 10 Α. No. Okay. Do you have any knowledge or 11 Ο. 12 know about what steps Smith took regarding 13 complying with wage and hour laws? 14 No. Α. 15 When working with Smith, did you ever Q. 16 have any discussion with anyone about classifying 17 reamer hands as exempt from overtime 18 compensation? 19 Α. No. 20 Did you have any involvement with Ο. preparing or writing a job description for the 21 22 job positions you held while you worked with 23 Smith? 24 Α. No. 25 Q. Are you aware of whether Smith had been -- has been sued in the past with respect to seeking overtime compensation with respect to reamer hands?

A. No.

- Q. Are you aware of any lawsuits against Smith seeking overtime compensation, not just by reamer hands?
  - A. No.
- Q. Are you aware of any government investigations, such as by the Department of Labor or a state agency, into Smith's compensation practices?
  - A. No.
- Q. Do you have any knowledge or information that Smith had been informed in the past by a government agency that its compensation practices violated wage and hour laws?
- A. No.
- Q. Okay. All right. And as I understand it, again, we're focused on this 2014 to 2019 time period, during that time period, your compensation that you received consisted of two main components, correct? You received a salary, and then you also received rig pay, job bonus, et cetera, for the days you were out working on a

rig; is that correct?

2.0

- A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And focusing for a moment on the salary portion of your compensation, other than things like income taxes, Social Security and other benefits, you know, 401k, things like that, were there ever any deductions taken from your salary, such as, you know, you were suspended for two days or, you know, you didn't show up to work for a day or two and your pay was deducted as a result?
  - A. No.
- Q. Okay. And during this time, you did understand that part of your compensation was made up of a salary, correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And your salary, you received the same salary every week regardless of the amount that you worked, whether, you know, you worked at all, worked zero hours, or if you were, you know, out on a rig for seven days of the week? Your salary remained the same between those two, correct?
- A. Yes. Most of the time we were offshore or somewhere.

1 Right. And were there any weeks that 0. you can recall when you did not work and you were 2 3 not paid your salary? 4 Α. No. 5 Ο. And was it your understanding that your 6 -- the salary portion of your compensation, it 7 was compensation for all the hours that you 8 worked in a week regardless of how many it was? 9 MR. ST. PE': 10 Object to the form. 11 THE WITNESS: 12 The salary was I guess to keep me 13 from going anywhere else. It really wasn't that 14 high, but if we wasn't on the job, we was 15 expected to do training, whether it be at home or 16 here in the Broussard/Lafayette area, that the 17 oilfield -- the oil companies required. BY MR. BOWDLER: 18 19 Ο. Okay. So in those weeks where, you 2.0 know, you were doing training either at home 21 online or in the office, your salary was paying 22 you for that time that you spent doing that 23 training; is that correct? 24 Yes. That was our compensation. Α. Wе 25 didn't get any extra pay to attend OSHA BOP or

whatever.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Just if you recall just kind of off the top of your head, do you remember what the amount of your salary was while you were with Smith and, again, just that 2014 to '19 time period?
  - A. Probably around 4200 a month maybe.
- Q. Okay. And then I'm just going to pull up and share my screen again, and this is a document we are going to mark as Exhibit 3 for your deposition, and what this is is a document called an Employee Mini File. It's a document that Smith/Schlumberger maintains for its employees, and then just turning your attention down here, there's a box that reads Career History. Here, let me zoom in a little closer, and then I'm just going to scroll over a little bit to the right, and here, there's a column where it's headed up at the top Base Salary, and below that it has the amount \$54,700, and just to the extent you can remember, is that consistent with what you recall your salary being working with Smith?
- A. Actually, I didn't think it was that high, but if that's what the records show, yeah, I guess it is.

1 Q. Okay. 2 (Off-the-record due to technical difficulties). 3 BY MR. BOWDLER: 4 And so, Mr. Kemp, in addition to your Ο. 5 salary, you also received as part of your 6 compensation a either rig pay or job bonus day 7 rate as well, correct? 8 Α. Yes. 9 Okay. And that was a payment you would Q. 10 receive for each day you were assigned to working 11 on a drilling rig, correct? 12 Α. Yes. 13 Q. Okay. And it was determined that it 14 was a flat amount for each day, and then you 15 would get that flat amount for the number of days 16 that you were on the rig, correct? 17 Α. Correct. And other than being assigned to and 18 O. working on a rig, were there any other 19 2.0 requirements or prerequisites you had to meet in 21 order to receive that compensation? 22 Α. As far as training? 23 0. More along the lines so you had to be 24 on the rig, but, you know, anything else such as 25 you're on the rig but you had to work at least

five hours a day or it had to be billable to the client or couldn't be any MPT, things like that, or was it just you're on the rig, you get it?

- A. We was -- once we got to the rig, we was there 24 hours a day, just whenever they needed us whatever for as far as our tools, anything to do with the tools that we had.
- Q. Okay. Did you ever have an occasion where your supervisor or someone above him or her said that you weren't going to get the rig pay for days you were out on the rig for, you know, for some -- whatever reason?
  - A. No.

- Q. Okay. And other than days being assigned to and working out on a rig, were there any other days or types of work for which you could earn a similar payment?
  - A. No.
- Q. And, Mr. Kemp, I'm going to -- I'm sharing my screen again. I'm going to pull up a document that we're going to mark as Exhibit #4 to your deposition, and this is a pay statement of yours for the pay period of December 14, 2014 through December the 27th, 2014, and have you seen this document prior to today, Mr. Kemp?

- A. I've probably seen it. I may or may not have seen it --
  - Q. Okay.

2.0

- A. -- to tell the truth, you know. I did not see all my paystubs, no.
- Q. No. I understand. And then I'm going to turn your attention to what's essentially in the second row. Over in the left, it says, you know, Curr and then YTD and then Gross, Taxes. Do you know the reference to YTD, that that's a reference to year to date?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And then next to the year to date and under the gross column where it says Gross, it has the amount of \$214,044.61, and then, again, just generally speaking to the extent you can recall, is that approximately what you earned in total compensation in 2014?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And then just here under this part, this section where it says Earnings, just the last line item here, it reads Base Plus Amount, and do you recall, is that the line item for the day rate rig pay that we were discussing a moment ago, if you know?

A. Yes.

- Q. And then there's also a line for STD Full, and I believe that stands for short-term disability?
  - A. Maybe.
- Q. Okay. And so just during your time with Smith, did you ever go out on disability?
- A. I'm trying to think. I think I had carpal tunnel surgery one time.
  - Q. Okay.
  - A. And that may be what it's there for.
- Q. Okay. And, again, just I understand if you don't, do you happen to recall the rough time period when that happened?
  - A. That was prior to this.
- Q. Okay. And, Mr. Kemp, I'm going to just pull up again. This is a document we're going to mark and attach to your deposition as Exhibit 5, and this is one of your pay statements, and it's for the pay period of December 13, 2015 through December 26, 2015, and, again, turning your attention to the row with YTD and Gross, it lists an amount of \$208,050.10, and, again, to the extent you can recall, is that consistent with what you remember earning total compensation in

```
1
     2015?
 2
         Α.
               Yes.
 3
               Okay. And then just here again,
         0.
 4
     there's a last line under earnings. It refers to
 5
     DTR wellsite op bonus. Is that similarly
 6
     reflecting payment for the day rate rig pay
 7
     aspect of your compensation just kind under a
 8
     different name, if you recall?
 9
         Α.
               I don't recall.
10
         Q.
               Okay.
11
               I don't know. Like I say, I didn't see
         Α.
12
     all of them so ...
13
         Q.
               Sure. And, Mr. Kemp, I'm going to pull
14
     up the document we'll mark as Exhibit 6 for your
15
     deposition, and, again, this is one of your pay
16
     statements. It's for the pay period of December
17
     the 11th, 2016 through December the 24th, 2016,
     and, again, turning your attention to here with
18
     the gross and year to date, it lists the amount
19
     of $143,600.10, and to the extent you can
2.0
     remember, is that consistent with what you recall
21
22
     earning in total compensation in 2016?
23
         Α.
               I guess. I don't recall it but --
24
         O.
               Okay.
25
         Α.
               -- the W-2 says it.
```

Q. Okay.

2.0

- A. It must have been a bad year.
- Q. So and then just one more, and this is a document we'll mark as Exhibit 7 for your deposition, and, again, this is one of your pay statements, and it's for the pay period of November 26, 2017 through December 9th, 2017, and, again, over there under year to date gross amount, it lists \$149,196.25, and, again, is that relatively consistent with what you recall earning in total compensation in 2017?
- A. Yes. I don't recall it, but that's what the W-2 says.
- Q. Okay. Lastly, I'm going to pull up this is your W-2 for 2018, and here, let me zoom in for you so it's a little easier to read. Here, and there's a box number, it says Box 5, Medicare Wages and Tips, and it lists the amount of \$165,732.12, and, again, is that -- any reason to doubt that was around your total compensation for 2018?
  - A. No reason to doubt it.
- Q. Okay. And while you were working with Smith as a reamer hand, did you ever complain or raise a concern with any of your managers or

supervisors about your compensation?

A. No.

- Q. Okay. Did you ever discuss with any of them your belief that you should have been paid overtime as a reamer hand?
  - A. No.
- Q. And so when was it that you formulated your belief that you should have been paid overtime when you were working as a reamer hand?
  - A. I don't really recall.
- Q. And at the time when you were working with Smith and being paid a salary, plus the day rate rig pay, did you have any problems with the way that you were being compensated at that time?
- A. No. I wasn't in a position I felt like to say anything, you know.
- Q. And so did you -- I guess just for me to clarify then, you felt that there were issues with how you were being paid, just that you were not in a position to raise them or --
- A. I knew there was a lot of hours I was putting in, but I didn't know what to do to address the issue, you know.
  - Q. Okay.
  - A. I needed a job more than I needed to

make waves.

- Q. Okay. And then just while you yourself didn't lodge any complaints or concerns, were you aware of any other reamer hands who raised concerns about the method by which they were being compensated?
  - A. No.
- Q. Okay. And, again, just kind of limiting ourselves to the 2014 to 2019 time period, you were not required to record for Smith the specific number of hours each day that you worked; is that correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. But you did, however, keep track of the specific -- the number of days when you worked, correct?
  - A. Yeah.
- Q. Okay. And so if you recall, what was the method by which that you, you know, kept track of the days that you worked and reported that to Smith?
- A. There was a calendar that you could keep track of the days that we worked on my computer, and I had all that information. Then the computer started messing up. So I brought it

to Smith. I think it's -- or one of smith's ITs for a new computer. He transferred everything over, except none of the information. I lost everything --

Q. Okay.

2.0

- A. -- from the day one I worked with Smith until then, and that was right before I left Smith.
- Q. Okay. Do you recall in the April/May 2015 time period of using what I believe is an online portal for recording your days work with Smith, and I believe it's referred to as load chart? Do you remember/recall using anything like that?
- A. I remember using, yeah, a load chart. I don't remember how long we actually did it or when we started.
- Q. Okay. And if you recall, prior to when y'all started using the load chart program, how did you keep track of and report to Smith the days that you worked?
- A. If we were still on the job, we called in and give them the days that we had worked, and at the end, they got it off the job reports that were turned in. Supervisors, you know, they kept

track of who was working and what.

- Q. And, perhaps, this is what you had mentioned earlier. Other than reporting that you -- reporting your days work to Smith, did you keep any kind of personal calendar or journal of the days or hours that you worked?
- A. I kept personal -- it's just a thing on my computer that shows what days I was working.
- Q. Okay. And is that -- that's one of the things you mentioned as when the computer got changed over got lost?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. Okay.
  - A. Fourteen years information.
- Q. And so, Mr. Kemp, I'm going to share my screen with you again. I'm going to pull up a document we'll mark as Exhibit 9 for your deposition, and this is a report that was generated through the load chart system, and I realize it's probably -- it's pretty small and hard to read. So I'll do my best with, you know, getting it -- putting it in a legible format for you, and so what I would like to do is let me just scroll down a little bit here.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

going to -- on this page here, it's the one if you look in the lower right-hand corner, and I'm zooming in, it has the number D-000625 on it, and I just want to ask a couple of questions. Here in this column where up at the top it lists time type, at the start of the page there's listings for wellsite/job/vessel, and then after a number of those, there's an entry for on office base-lab, and I was curious, to the extent you can remember, what does the entry of on office base-lab, what does that -- what kind of work did that reflect? Were you working in the office, or was it a day you were doing training, things like that, if you recall? And, again, if you need me to zoom in, et cetera, just, you know, please let me know.

- A. Technically, I don't know. I don't think I ever worked in the office that much in 14 years. I know I never did do training more than a day or two at a time.
- Q. Okay. And -- okay. So you just can't quite remember what those entries were, like if you were working or what kind of work you were doing?
  - A. I don't know what that was for.

Q. Okay. And on these, on the load chart, do you recall did you ever mark a day or, you know, fill it out and code it as being on call, if you recall?

- A. No, I don't -- I don't think so. I was either working or getting paid, you know, to be working in this time period.
- Q. Sure. Sure. And then just -- I apologize. I'm just going to pull this just back up, what we marked as Exhibit 9, and, again, you're looking at this page where it says D-000619. Again, to the extent you can recall in here under time type where there's a listing for, you know, local day off or vacation, if you recall, were those days on which you were either -- I guess essentially you were not out on a rig working?
  - A. I guess. I really don't know.
- Q. Okay. And if you recall when you started using load chart, did you yourself put in your day's worked entries, or did someone do it on your behalf, if you recall?
- A. I think somebody did it for us to start with, and then we had to try to learn how to do it ourselves.

- Q. Okay. When would -- the time you were working as a reamer hand, you didn't have a set schedule; is that correct?
  - A. Correct. We were on 24/7.
- Q. Correct. Yeah. My understanding is right when you would get called out for a job, and you go out and you would be on the job on the rig until you were either relieved or the drilling operations were done, and then you would come in and it would be wait until you get a call for another rig to go out to --
  - A. Correct.

- Q. -- is that correct? Okay. And the jobs as well, working on the rig, they also were not necessarily of a set duration? You could be there a week? You could be there 30 days, just depended; is that correct?
- A. Correct. I could be there -- the longest was 51 days.
- Q. That's quite a long time. And just if you would, just on a kind of general overview sort of big picture view of things, what were your duties, your day-to-day job duties, as a reamer hand?
  - A. When we got to the job, we went to the

company man to discuss the job coming up, went checked out our tools, measured them, strapped them, started the job report, got all the pictures, got with the drill crew, got links of drill pipe, drill collars, whatever, casing data where we would know where the casing points were, the bottom of the casing, and then it depends on what rig it was; we would make up the BHA, which would be the reamer on their drilling assembly. They would either make it up and rack it back to have it ready, or when they got ready, we would pick it up and go in the hole on the deck, you know. It just depends on if they had the capability to rack the tools back or not.

Then once we went in, they drilled out the cement, got the reamer below the casing; we would open it up, and then they would do their test, and we would start drilling ahead. I would give the driller prior to this his parameters he had to stay in of what the tool was capable of doing, and I was there just observing and writing down data as they were drilling ahead --

Q. Okay.

2.0

A. -- trying to make sure they stayed within the parameters and informed -- every day

we would have either a call or send reports, something to the office, let them know what was going on, if they wanted something different, or let them know how the tools were reacting.

2.0

- Q. Okay. And going back for a moment, you mentioned one of the steps after you arriving on the rig involved making up the BHA of which the reaming tool was a part of. When -- it was the rig crew, correct, that did the physical assembly of the BHA; is that correct?
- A. Well, it just depends on what rig you was on. If they operated their equipment, we would assist them in making it up, you know, if they needed assistance. Some rigs just aren't equipped like other rigs. Some rigs you can't put your hands on anything. Some rigs if you didn't, it wouldn't get done.
- Q. Okay. And how often would you say that you were working on rigs where you had to perform some of these tasks instead of it being one of the ones where you said you weren't allowed to touch any of the operator's equipment?
- A. Between 60 to 70 percent probably.

  There were only a few companies that classified as hands-off.

- Q. Okay. And for a moment talking about your role while drilling operations would be going on, you mentioned you were there to give the driller the parameters and make sure that the driller operated the reamer within those parameters, correct?
- A. Yes. I tried to make sure he would stay within the parameters. Whether they did or not was their and the company man's choosing, but if they did -- if they didn't stay within them, then I made a note of it, reported it back to my office.
- Q. Okay. And do you know why you would be sent out to the rig along with the reaming tool in order to do what you just mentioned if your role was during drilling operations to, you know, observe the driller and do your best to have them stay within the parameters?
- A. Well, they just needed somebody there, because if something went wrong, they would need somebody to tell them because the oil company would try to charge them back the time.
- Q. Okay. And then for that, could you just, as someone who's never worked in the oilfield, just could you flesh them out for me

just a little bit about sort of some additional detail about what you mean with that?

- A. Well, in other words, those drilling rigs, it's several million dollars a day. So every hour is, you know, fifty, hundred thousand dollars an hour. If you got downtime on your tools, they're going to charge it back to the company and if they don't stay within our parameters and then there's no guarantee their tool's going to make it, you know, and they're sure not going to tell you they went outside the parameters.
- Q. Okay. And so I guess just, and, again, let me know if I'm, you know, on the same page as you, so you were there just in case if something went wrong with the reaming tool, you were there to say, hey, they were operating outside the parameters, it's not Smith's fault, we're not responsible for MPT and/or kind of vice versa; is that fair?

MR. ST. PE':

Object to the form.

THE WITNESS:

I could, you know, just -- like I said, I'd give them the parameters for them to

run our tool. I couldn't -- we couldn't run the equipment, you know, the rig or anything because of liability issues. It's basically to keep my boss informed of what was going on.

Q. Okay. And would you agree with me if I said that then part of your job there was to protect Smith's equipment, sort of making sure that the client wasn't operating outside parameters, and if they did, they understood the ramifications of that, that they might be financially responsible, that sort of thing?

MR. ST. PE':

I'm going to object to the form.

It's a compound question. It's concluding and misleading. You do that a lot with these compound questions. Most of them are not as misleading, but that's terrible, but you can go ahead and answer if you understand the question.

# THE WITNESS:

I forgot the question.

# BY MR. BOWDLER:

Q. Sure. Just would it be fair and would you agree with me if -- with the statement of part of your role on the rig was to make sure that the customer didn't operate Smith's tools in

1 a way that would damage the tools? 2 MR. ST. PE': 3 Object to the form. It's 4 misleading, mischaracterizes his earlier 5 testimony. 6 THE WITNESS: 7 What I done, like I said, I took 8 down the parameters, and in the mornings, I'd 9 send my report in so they would see how much 10 weight, RPMs, and all we were running on the 11 tools, what kind of -- if I knew what kind of 12 formations we were drilling in, I would give that 13 to them. Most of the time, we didn't know. 14 just depends on what rig you was on if you could 15 get that information, you know. 16 BY MR. BOWDLER: 17 Okav. So just what I'm trying to get a 18 sense of, Mr. Kemp, is just, you know, why were 19 you there? You know, why were you there on the 2.0 rig? Why was, you know, the customer paying Smith for your services? 21 22 They were making money off of us. 23 That's why we were there. They were charging 24 twice what they was paying us. 25 Q. Okay. And then I guess just to

clarify, when you say making money, that was Smith that was making money?

A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. Do you know that -- do you know whether when a client, one of Smith customer's, apologies, would use a reaming tool, were they -- was it required that you go and be on the rig while they use the tool, again, if you know?
- A. It was at one time, and then it was wasn't. It was more or less strictly up to the customer --
  - Q. Okay.
  - A. -- in the later part.
- Q. And do you happen to recall the time period when it became up to the customer?
  - A. No, sir.
- Q. Okay. While on a job out on the drilling rig, what were, if any, the manual labor tasks that you were required to perform?
- A. As far as the manual labor was the strapping our tools, getting -- it depends on the -- if it was a whipstock job, there was a lot of components to that whipstock job. A reaming job, you know, you just got one or two components to hit, but strapping them and getting -- when we

picked them up, whatever time it was and getting them made up in the correct spot and the correct position and making sure the floats and stuff was in them, and once the tools were picked up, inspected them, make sure nothing happened in transit.

- Q. Okay. Anything else?
- A. I can't think of anything.
- Q. Okay. And if you would, just, again, kind of generally with measuring, strapping the tools, rough idea of how much time that took?
- A. It depends on the size of the tool and when it was available. You would go out there with a crane crew, and you could get it done in four or five hours, and then if they were doing other stuff in between, you may be out there for a day or so, you know.
- Q. Okay. When you say you may be out there a day or so, is that -- that day or so, are you waiting for the crane to be available or --
  - A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And then if you would, just literally just the measuring of the tools, approximately, and, again, general idea, understanding it's going to vary, about how much

time does that take?

2.0

- A. Two to three hours.
- Q. Okay. And what's -- my question is why are you -- why would you -- were you required to measure the tools when you arrived on the rig?
- A. Everything is -- that goes in the hole is measured. You got to know where you're at. Plus, also, that's when you're performing your inspection; one, make sure you got the right tool to start with and make sure it's not damaged and the tool has the right components on it --
  - Q. Okay.
  - A. -- right threads and whatever.
- Q. Okay. And when the part of -- when you mentioned when you're making up and picking up the tool getting, you know, getting the reamer on the correct position, when you were -- you know, the times when you were doing those tasks, the rig crew wasn't doing it, about how much time does that process take? How much time did you spend on that?
  - A. Say that again.
- Q. Sure. The part where you were -- you would make up and pick up the tools, approximately, how long did that take when you

were -- those rigs where you had to perform -- do those things?

- A. It may would take three, four hours, and it could take 12 hours. It depended on the efficiency of the rig crew and the ability for them to handle the size tools that we had. If it was a big tool with a small rig, it took a long time, and experience of the drill crew, too.
- Q. And when the BHA was being assembled, was it the rig crew who was like literally screwing on stage by stage, or, again, is that, sort of going back to what you said earlier, some rigs you were involved and did those?
- A. If the reamer was out there, I was out there with them, and the other components, they made up their-selves.
  - O. Okay.

- A. The whole time the BHA was made up, we were up there.
- Q. Okay. And I believe you also mentioned a task of making up some floats in this --
  - A. We call it a float.
- Q. Yeah. Just what was involved with that? You know, what were you -- I guess what does that mean to be making up one of the floats?

- A. All it is is when they come out, the placement of the float's decided on by the company man, the drill crew, and we put them in our tools. What that does is prevent pressure from coming past there. You had to make sure you had the right float.
- Q. Okay. And I guess was that part of the process of when you were making up the BHA, or was that done after the fact or before?
  - A. That was the process of making it up.
  - Q. Okay.

2.0

- A. Also, if we had other components in the drill string, you know, we had to make them up, too, where it was like a Rhino stabilizer or whatever.
- Q. Okay. And then just lastly, you had mentioned that the last task involved, making sure nothing happened to the reaming tool in transit and a manual labor task and, again, what did that involve? What were you doing for that task?
- A. Physically inspecting it, if it didn't get dropped by a crane or beat up.
- Q. Okay. So I guess were you observing the rig crew I guess picking up the tool, making

sure they didn't do anything to damage the tool?

- A. Yes. Right.
- Q. Okay. And in your experience with Smith, did you ever have any instances where while observing the rig crew picking up the tool that they were doing something that you thought would potentially damage or harm the underreaming tool?
  - A. Not my tool.
- Q. Okay.

- A. I seen them drop another tool. I reported it, because when they took it apart on the rig floor, it fell in pieces, and they was wondering why. I didn't make no friends that day because they're sure not going to tell anybody.
- Q. And so kind of I guess overall, the manual labor tasks that you were required to perform, were they necessary, a necessary part of you being able to do your job as a reamer hand?
- A. Yes. We had to strap the tools, inspect the tools, and the other components. When we laid them down, we had to inspect them and grade them, prepare them for shipping. As far as the tools come out the strap most of the time and aren't strapped down, and we had to

strap them back down for shipment.

- Q. Okay. And so, roughly speaking, around what percentage of time did your job involve performing manual labor tasks?
  - A. 10 percent, 15.

- Q. Okay. And kind of fast-forwarding a little bit and while the drilling operations are going on and the rig crew's drilling ahead, where are -- I guess just physically on the rig, where are you working from? Where are you stationed, for lack of a better word?
- A. Most of the time, either sitting behind the driller or sitting where I can see a monitor on the rig floor.
- Q. Okay. And during that time when are you -- you're observing I guess the different measurements and parameters that are on the monitors so you can see what the driller is doing?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And you had also mentioned before that you would talk with the driller about, you know, what the parameters were for the tool on that job, and while you were observing, was that -- I'm trying to think. I apologize.

2.0

When you were -- when you would tell the driller about the parameters, was that a, for lack -- I'm trying to think, sort of a constant thing that was going on? You would say, okay, now that you're at this stage of the well, here are your parameters, or did the driller kind of already know for the course of the well what the parameters were and you were just, you know, checking behind him, or was it more of a constant dialogue between the two of you?

- A. It was probably more of a -- I would give him the prior parameters, and then if they went through sand or something, I would remind him that, you know, the reamer's going to be entering the sand at such and such depth, what to do before it got there as far as, you know, reducing to the parameters that we had prior agreed to.
- Q. And then I believe as you said then, despite those being the parameters, if the company man wanted to, he could say, no, I want to operate outside those parameters for either this one segment or for the entire drilling operations, correct?
  - A. Yeah. There was some. There was ROP;

```
1
     I saw a greater penetration. That's all they
 2
     wanted.
 3
               MR. ST. PE':
 4
                    Bryan, let me know when you get
 5
     to a spot where you can take a break.
 6
               MR. BOWDLER:
                    Yes. This is fine. We can go
 7
 8
     ahead and take a break right now.
 9
               MR. ST. PE':
10
                    Okay. About ten minutes.
11
               MR. BOWDLER:
12
                    About ten minutes, okay.
13
               MR. ST. PE':
14
                    We'll come back about 3:15?
15
               MR. BOWDLER:
16
                    Sounds good.
17
     (Brief recess taken.)
     BY MR. BOWDLER:
18
19
               And, Mr. Kemp, for your job duties
2.0
     while drilling operations were ongoing that you
21
     had discussed, how often did you perform those
22
     duties while on the job? Was it once or twice a
23
     week, or was it most of the time, you know, that
24
     sort of thing?
25
               MR. ST. PE':
```

1 I'm going to object to the form 2 It's unclear about what job of the question. 3 duties you're referring to. 4 BY MR. BOWDLER: 5 Ο. While drilling operations were ongoing, 6 as you said, you were observing the driller and informing him of what the parameters were for the 7 8 underreaming tool. 9 Α. I was writing in my book every 30 10 minutes unless they changed; I'd make a note of 11 that. 12 You mentioned as well in addition I think, you know, you were writing down the 13 14 different parameters in your book, and I believe 15 you had stated earlier, you also -- part of the 16 job you had to fill out a report with that 17 information that you were recording; is that 18 correct? 19 Α. Yes. 2.0 Okay. And the report, I believe that Ο. 21 typically was referred to in part of a larger 22 document the operator's resumi? 23 Α. I guess morning report, operator's 24 resumi, I quess it's about the same.

Okay. And for whom was this report

25

Q.

1 prepared? Was it for -- were you preparing it for your managers, supervisors back in the office?

- It just depended. On different jobs, Α. different people was required or wanted to get Most of them were Schlumberger people, but, you know, it would be my immediate supervisor and his boss, drilling engineers.
- And if you know, why was it the Q. individuals who received your report, again, if you know, why did they want to get this information that you were recording?
- Α. That's their job to find out what was going on and what all was taking place. They're the ones that planned the jobs.
- And do you know or do you have any Ο. knowledge about how the individuals received your report used the information recorded in it?
  - Α. No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

- The reports that you kept, if 0. Okav. you know, were they also provided to the customer?
  - Α. No.
  - 0. Okay.
- 25 Α. Not by me.

- Q. Okay. And I guess and you don't know from there if anyone else provided it to a customer?
  - A. No.

2.0

- Q. Okay. And you had mentioned as well part of your job was when the reaming tool would come out of the hole, that you would then inspect the tool and grade it, correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And if you know, what was the reason why you would perform this inspection and grading?
- A. It would show the wear on the tool, whether it was in gauge, out of gauge, whether the customer had a full gauge hole or if the reamer was wore out and it didn't -- it didn't -- they didn't have a full gauge, you know, and, like I said, that was part of the -- what I considered the physical part of the job was like picking it up and laying it out and strapping it all out. That was the 10 to 15 percent. If you classify what all I done, you know, that would be 70 to 80 percent but --
  - O. And --
  - A. And lot of people --

- Q. Go ahead. I'm sorry.
- A. A lot of people have a different definition on physical labor, you know.
- Q. Sure. Sure. And -- sorry. I'm sorry. Go ahead. Please finish.
- A. And, like I say, whether you're using a wrench or pushing a pencil, you know, that could be classified as the same thing.
  - Q. Okay.

2.0

- A. Go ahead.
- Q. Sure. And you said that one of the items when you were inspecting it was looking for -- looking if the tool was in gauge or out of gauge, and if you would, I guess with that, would that be information I guess for the client to know, hey, look, based on what I saw, the reamer should have enlarged the hole what we were thinking or planning as it should have, or, you know, it didn't quite engage all the way so I don't think we accomplished what we were setting out to do? Was that what that part of the inspection means, or was it something completely different?
  - A. Yeah. Besides me, the company man, they were always there, the directional drillers,

were all looking at the reamers, the bits, and, you know, the other components, stabilizers to see what wear and whether they actually had a true hole or not, you know, if everything was in gauge. Everything was inspected when it come out of the hole as far as the BHA.

- Q. Okay. And when you inspected the tool and did the grading, is that something else that you recorded in the reports that you were keeping on the job?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. And if you know, what, if anything, did Smith use that information for I guess other than as you were talking about with looking to see if they had, you know, the true gauge of the well bore? Do you know if Smith used that information for anything else?
  - A. I don't know.
- Q. Okay. And you said one of the things that you did when you would show up on the rig was you would inspect the tools making sure that what was sent to you was the correct tool and that you had everything that you needed, correct?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. And if you were missing an item

or you had the incorrect tool sent to you, would you then coordinate with your supervisor about getting the correct item sent out to the rig?

A. Yes, immediately.

2.0

- Q. And after that initial inspection and check to make sure that you had what you needed, as the job progressed, did you ever have -- that was confusing. Going forward, would you then ever have other instances where you had to coordinate with your manager to get additional equipment sent out to the rig, because as just an example, one part you thought was going to get worn out and for the next segment you would need a new one, anything like that?
- A. I would inform them like when we were finishing a section, we'd need the tools for the next section --
  - O. Okay.
  - A. -- which would be different sizes.
- Q. And the Smith's customers for whom you provided services to and worked on on those rigs, they were oil and gas development companies; is that correct?
- A. Most of them, yes. I did a few storage facilities jobs.

- Q. Okay. And so for those, the storage facility jobs, who were Smith's customers for those jobs?
  - A. I don't know if I can tell you that.
  - Q. You're not allowed? Sorry. Go ahead.
  - A. US Government.
  - Q. Oh, it was the US government, okay.
  - A. I'd have to kill you.
- Q. I get enough of that from my sister so ...
- Do you happen to recall the approximate time period of the storage facility jobs?
- 13 A. No.

- Q. Okay. And putting to one side those storage facility jobs, Smith's customers that were using the underreaming tools, their, if you know, business was to drill and hopefully create a well that would produce oil or natural gas, correct?
  - A. Yes. Uh -- yes.
- Q. And then I believe as you said earlier, and please correct me if I'm wrong, but while the drilling operations were going on, you yourself were not controlling the BHA or the drill string; is that correct?

A. Yes.

2.0

- Q. I apologize. I drew a blank there.

  And your role as part of the client's drilling operation, as you said, you were there in connection with the operation of the reaming tool and among other things making sure that the driller operated the reaming tool within parameters; is that correct?
- A. Yeah. I was there observing to make sure he was staying in there. If he got out, then I made notes of it.
- Q. Okay. And then what was, if you were to classify, the main reason or, you know, the primary reason that you were there on the rig? What were kind of your most important job duties, if you will?
- A. Getting the tools strapped, ready, getting the information, just more or less recording what all took place.
- Q. With your belief in the lawsuit that you should have been paid overtime during your time with Smith, do you also believe that you should have been paid on an hourly basis while you were working with Smith?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. And is it your understanding that if you were paid on an hourly basis, that, for instance, days when you weren't working and you were in between jobs, that you would have not been paid for those days, correct?
- A. If I wouldn't have been working for Smith, I would be working for somebody else. It was more a retainer.
- Q. Have you -- do you have a sense of how much unpaid overtime compensation that you think that you're owed?
- A. No. I know how much time I put in when I was on the job. That's all I know is just time.
  - Q. Okay.

2.0

A. And not -- I mean, I can't spit you a 2,000 hours. No, I can't do that. Just, you know --

# MR. BOWDLER:

Okay. And let me see. If you guys can maybe give me five minutes off the record. I don't think I'm going to really have much more. I can just flip through real quickly like five minutes and then see about getting Mr. Kemp on your way and to the rest of your day.

```
1
               MR. ST. PE':
 2
                     I'm going to have a question just
 3
     to clarify something so ...
 4
               MR. BOWDLER:
 5
                     Okay.
               MR. ST. PE':
 6
 7
                     So if you want, I can take it now
 8
     or however you want to do it.
 9
               MR. BOWDLER:
10
                     Yeah. No. If you could just
11
     give me, you know, five minutes, let me flip
12
     through my notes to see if I have anything, and
13
     then we'll -- if not, you can ask what you need
14
     to ask.
15
               MR. ST. PE':
16
                            Thank you.
                     Okay.
17
               MR. BOWDLER:
18
                     Okay.
     (Brief recess taken.)
19
20
     BY MR. BOWDLER:
21
         0.
               And so just to wrap up, Mr. Kemp, have
22
     you told me the truth in response to all of my
23
     questions here today?
24
               To the best of my ability I have.
         Α.
25
         Q.
               Okay. And is there any of your
```

testimony or any of your answers to my questions that you feel you need to go back and either clarify or correct at this time?

A. Well, there was one there, and I had addressed it a little bit, and it was on the manual labor I think or physical labor, and I told you 10 to 15 percent. I considered that was talking about strapping the tools, making the tools up and laying the tools out, but if you classify me being on the floor standing, sitting, walking them drillships, for sure, that was physical, but you figure all that time in, that's about 80 percent of the time I was there.

I'm kind of an insomnia type person, and it was 18 to 21 hours a day I was on the floor. If that tool was in the hole, I was there most of the time, because as I was told when I took the job, the only way you're going to know what happens is if you was there.

- Q. Okay. And then so just for clarifying, when you talk about the 80 percent of the job with being physical, that included your time either standing or sitting, climbing stairs, walking around, that sort of thing?
  - A. Yes, as far as back and forth on the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3:45 p.m.

```
drill floor or whatever, you know.
          Okay. And the 15 percent that you had
    O.
mentioned, that was time where, and correct me
please if I'm wrong, you were actually like using
your hands, you know, putting things together,
taking them apart, measuring, using tools, things
like that?
    Α.
                It's, you know, more of a
          Yes.
physical type work as far as labor intensified,
pipe wrenches, stuff like that.
          MR. BOWDLER:
               Okay. Got it. Thank you for
that, and so, Mr. Kemp, thank you. I don't have
any other questions for you at this time, and I
will go ahead and pass the witness to Mr.
St. Pe'.
          MR. ST. PE':
               We don't have any questions, but
we'll reserve reading and signing.
          MR. BOWDLER:
               Okay. All right. Well, that's
    Mr. Kemp, thank you very much for your time
it.
this afternoon. Appreciate it.
(Whereupon the deposition was completed at
```

1	
2	
3	
4	WITNESS' CERTIFICATE
5	
6	I have read or have had the foregoing
7	testimony read to me and hereby certify that it
8	is a true and correct transcription of my
9	testimony with the exception of any attached
10	corrections or changes.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	BRENT K. KEMP
17	BRENI A. KEMP
18	
19	PLEASE INDICATE
20	( ) NO CORRECTIONS
21	( ) CORRECTIONS: ERRATA SHEET (S) ENCLOSED
22	
23	
24	
25	

## REPORTER'S PAGE

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

I, CHERYL L. OHLMEYER, Certified Court Reporter, in and for the State of Louisiana, the officer, as defined in Rule 28 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and/or Article 1434(B) of the Louisiana Code of Civil Procedure, before whom this proceeding was taken, do hereby state on the Record:

That due to the interaction in the spontaneous discourse of this proceeding, dashes (--) have been used to indicate pauses, changes in thought, and/or talkovers; that same is the proper method for a Court Reporter's transcription of proceeding, and that the dashes (--) do not indicate that words or phrases have been left out of this transcript;

That any words and/or names which could not be verified through reference material have been denoted with the phrase "(spelled phonetically)."

> CHERYL L. OHLMEYER Certified Court Reporter

## REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

This certification is valid only for a transcript accompanied by my original signature and original required seal on this page.

I, CHERYL L. OHLMEYER, Certified Court Reporter in and for the State of Louisiana, as the officer before whom this testimony was taken, do hereby certify that BRENT K. KEMP, after having been duly sworn by me upon authority of R.S. 37:2554, did testify as hereinabove set forth in the foregoing 69 pages;

That this testimony was reported by me in the stenotype reporting method, was prepared and transcribed by me or under my personal direction and supervision, and is a true and correct transcript to the best of my ability and understanding;

That the transcript has been prepared in compliance with transcript format guidelines required by statute or by rules of the board, and that I am informed about the complete arrangement, financial or otherwise, with the person or entity making arrangements for deposition services; that I have acted in compliance with the prohibition on contractual relationships, as defined by Louisiana Code of Civil Procedure Article 1434 and in rules and advisory opinions of the board;

That I have no actual knowledge of any prohibited employment or contractual relationship, direct or indirect, between a court reporting firm and any party litigant in this matter nor is there any such relationship between myself and a party litigant in this matter;

That I am not of counsel, not related to counsel or the parties herein, nor am I otherwise interested in the outcome of this matter.

DATE SIGNED CHERYL L. OHLMEYER

CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER